An Evaluation of the Sustainability of Global Tuna Stocks Relative to Marine Stewardship Council Criteria

Joseph E. Powers Paul A. H. Medley

Version 1.0, February 2013

International Seafood Sustainability Foundation

Executive Summary

The Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) has established a program whereby a fishery may be certified as being sustainable. The sustainability of a fishery includes MSC criteria which are embodied in the following three Principles: relating to the status of the stock, the ecosystem of which the stock is a member and the fishery management system. Since many of the MSC issues are comparable for global tuna stocks, the MSC scoring system was used to evaluate nineteen stocks of tropical tunas¹ throughout the world and to evaluate the management systems of the Regional Fishery Management Organizations (RFMOs) associated with these stocks. Since the goal was to assess the commonality of the tuna stock, no evaluation was made for the fishery specific ecosystem criteria. The principles that were assessed were:

Principle 1 (P1): A fishery must be conducted in a manner that does not lead to over-fishing or depletion of the exploited populations and, for those populations that are depleted, the fishery must be conducted in a manner that demonstrably leads to their recovery, and

Principle 3 (P3): The fishery is subject to an effective management system that respects local, national and international laws and standards and incorporates institutional and operational frameworks that require use of the resource to be responsible and sustainable.

Each of these Principles is evaluated in relationship to Performance Indicators (PIs) within each Principle. Additionally, the MSC has established rigorous Guidelines for scoring fisheries (MSC Fishery Standard Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Fishing, Version 1.1 – 1st May 2010; http://www.msc.org/).

Table 1 summarizes the findings of this evaluation.

Of the 19 stocks of tropical and temperate tunas, 5 achieved a passing score for Principle 1. Note that failure was not usually due to the poor status of the stock, but rather the failure of there not being target and limit reference points and well-defined harvest control rules in place. None of the 19 stocks met these MSC requirements.

Additionally, the RFMOs also had similar weaknesses but these varied between RFMO (Table 1).

While a future client tuna fishery will be evaluated on the merits related to all three MSC Principles, the scoring clearly outlines a template for actions to improve the management of the 19 tuna stocks through the RFMOs.

¹ The bluefin tunas (Atlantic, Pacific and southern) are specifically excluded from this study.

Table 1. Assessment of Global Tuna Stocks Using MSC P1 and P3 (RFMO) Criteria

Component ONC Performance Indicator 290 Score Score	1-	Atlantic	0	cean ICCAT	Yellowfin	Bigeye	Western Skipjack	Eastern Skipjack	North Albacore	South Albacore	Med Albacore		
1.1.2		Component	PI No.	Performance Indicator (PI)	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score		
1.1.2	+	Outcome	111	Oto alc atatua	70	0.0			7.0	7.0	0.0		
1.1.2 Stock instanting	+	Odicome											
Variagement 2.2 Information increase to bote 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	+			· ·		/5	/5	/5			65		
1.21 Information of monitoring 1.22 Information of monitoring 1.24 Assessment of slock stabula 1.24 Information of monitoring 1.24 Assessment of slock stabula 1.25 Information of monitoring 1.24 Assessment of slock stabula 1.25 Information of monitoring 1.24 Assessment of slock stabula 1.25 Information of monitoring 1.24 Information of monitoring 1.24 Information of monitoring 1.25 Information of slock stabula 1.25 Information of monitoring 1.2	+	Managamant											
1-23 Information in discharge 89 85 85 80 80 80 80 80 80	-	Management											
1-Pacific Ocean	+												
Novelptical Principals Note including required? Yes Yes No No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes No Yes	-												
Stock rebuilding require of 7 Yes No No No Yes Yes No	_				85	85	80	80	80	80	80		
P1 Score	+	Weighted Princ			Vos	No	No	No	Vos	Vas	No.		
1-Pacific Ocean													
1-Pacific Ocean	T												
Outcome	1-	- Pacific	O	cean									
1.1.2 Reference points 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7		Component	PI No.	Performance Indicator (PI)	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	
1.1.2 Reference points 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	+	Outcome	111	Stock status						155		407	
1.13 Slock rebuilding 75 60 70 80 80 85 80 80 80 80 8	-	Outcome											
Management 1.21 Harvest strategy 75 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	-				75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
1.22 Hanest control rules & tools 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	-	Managar											
1.23 Assessment of stock status 90 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	1	wanagement											
1.24 Assessment of stock status 90 90 85 95 85 85 85 85 85 85					60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Weighted Principle-level scores No					80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	
Stock rebuilding required? No No No No No No No N	L		1.2.4	Assessment of stock status	90	90	85	95	95	85	85	85	
P1 Score		Weighted Princ	iple-lev	/el scores									
1-Indian Ocean Yellowfin Bigeye Skipjack Albacore			Stock	rebuilding required?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Component PI No Performance Indicator (PI) Score Score Score Score Score				P1 Score:	79.4	75.0	80.6	78.1	78.1	82.5	76.9	81.9	
1.1.1 1.1.1 1.1.2 Reference points 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7													
1.1.2 Reference points 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 7	1-												
1.1.3 Stock rebuilding	1-	Component	PI No.	Performance Indicator (PI)	Score	Score	Score	Score					
Management 1.2.1 Harvest strategy	1-	Component	PI No.	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status	Score 90	Score 90	Score	Score 70	60 ≤	PI < 80:	Con	ndition Needed	
1.2.2 Harvest control rules & tools 60 60 60 60 60 Rebuilding Required	1-	Component	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points	Score 90	Score 90	Score	Score 70	60 ≤ PI or	PI < 80: Principle≥8	Con	ndition Needed	
1.2.3 Information & monitoring 80 80 80 85 60	1-	Component Outcome	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding	90 75	90 75	Score 100 75	Score 70 75	60 ≤ PI or Unsc	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored	Cor 0: Pas	ndition Needed	
1.2.4 Assessment of stock status 90 80 85 60	1-	Component Outcome	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy	90 75	Score 90 75 80	Score 100 75	70 75 60	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Stock rebuilding required? No No No No No No No N	1-	Component Outcome	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools	90 75 80 60	90 75 80 60	Score 100 75 80 60	70 75 60 60	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Stock rebuilding required? No No No No No No No P1 Score: 80.0 78.8 81.9 66.9	1-	Component Outcome	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring	90 75 80 60	90 75 80 60 80	Score 100 75 80 60 80	70 75 60 60 65	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
P1 Score: 80.0 78.8 81.9 66.9	1-	Component Outcome Management	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status	90 75 80 60	90 75 80 60 80	Score 100 75 80 60 80	70 75 60 60 65	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Component Pl No. Performance Indicator (Pl) Score Score Score Score	1-	Component Outcome Management	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status	90 75 80 60 80 90	90 75 80 60 80	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85	70 75 60 60 65 60	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Component Pl No. Performance Indicator (Pl) Score Score Score Score Score	1-	Component Outcome Management	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status /el scores rebuilding required?	90 75 80 60 80 90	90 75 80 60 80 80	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85	70 75 60 60 65 60 No	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Component PI No. Performance Indicator (PI) Score Score Score Score Score	1-	Component Outcome Management	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status /el scores rebuilding required?	90 75 80 60 80 90	90 75 80 60 80 80	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85	70 75 60 60 65 60 No	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
and Policy 3.1.2 Consultation, roles & 75 85 85 70		Component Outcome Management Weighted Prince	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 Stock	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status /el scores rebuilding required?	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0	90 75 80 60 80 80 No 78.8	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9	70 75 60 60 65 60 No 66.9	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
And Policy 3.1.2 Consultation, roles & 75 85 85 70		Outcome Management Weighted Prine	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 stock	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status vel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score:	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0	90 75 80 60 80 80 80 WCPFC	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9	70 75 60 60 65 60 No 66.9	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
3.1.3 Long term objectives 60 100 100 60 3.1.4 Incentives for sustainable 80 80 80 80 Fishery specific 3.2.1 Fishery specific objectives 60 80 80 80 60 management 3.2.2 Decision making processes 90 80 80 90 system 3.2.3 Compliance & enforcement 75 80 80 70 3.2.4 Research plan 90 90 90 90 3.2.5 Management performance 90 70 70 90		Component Outcome Management Weighted Prince by RFN Component	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.4 Stock PI No.	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI)	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0 ICCAT Score	90 75 80 60 80 No 78.8 WCPFC Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9	70 75 60 60 65 60 No 66.9	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
3.1.4 Incentives for sustainable 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8		Component Outcome Management Weighted Princ by RFN Component Governance	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 Stock	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0 ICCAT Score 75	90 75 80 60 80 80 WCPFC Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score	70 75 60 60 65 60 No 66.9	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Fishery specific 3.2.1 Fishery specific 3.2.1 Fishery specific objectives 60 80 80 60 60 60 60 60		Component Outcome Management Weighted Princ by RFN Component Governance	PINo. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.3 1.2.4 PINo. PINo. 3.1.1 3.1.2	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Acceptable of stock status Pel Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles &	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0 ICCAT Score 75 75	90 75 80 60 80 80 WCPFC Score 85 85 85	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
management 3.2.2 Decision making processes 90 80 80 90 system 3.2.3 Compliance & enforcement 75 80 80 70 3.2.4 Research plan 90 90 90 3.2.5 Management performance 90 70 70 90		Component Outcome Management Weighted Princ by RFN Component Governance	PINo. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.3 1.2.4 PINo. PINo. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status //el scores P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives	90 75 80 60 80 90 No 80.0 ICCAT Score 75 75 60	90 75 80 60 80 80 WCPFC Score 85 85 100	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
system 3.2.3 Compliance & enforcement 75 80 80 70 3.2.4 Research plan 90 90 90 90 3.2.5 Management performance 90 70 70 90		Outcome Management Weighted Prince by RFN Component Governance and Policy	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.4 PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status /el scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable	Score	90 75 80 60 80 80 WCPFC Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
3.2.4 Research plan 90 90 90 90 3.2.5 Management performance 90 70 70 90		Component Outcome Management Weighted Princ Dy RFN Component Governance and Policy Fishery specific	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.4 Stock PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2.1	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable Fishery specific objectives	Score	Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80 80	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
3.2.5 Management performance 90 70 70 90		Component Outcome Management Weighted Prince Component Governance and Policy Fishery specific management	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 Stock PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable Fishery specific objectives Decision making processes	Score 90 75 80 60 90 80.0	Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80 80	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
70 70 90		Component Outcome Management Weighted Prince Component Governance and Policy Fishery specific management	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 iple-lev Stock PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable Fishery specific objectives Decision making processes Compliance & enforcement	Score 90 75 80 60 80 90 75 60 80 90 75 60 90 90 75 60 90 90 75 60 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80 80 80	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
Weighted Principle-level scores		Component Outcome Management Weighted Prince Component Governance and Policy Fishery specific management	PI No. 11.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.1.3 1.2.4 Stock PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores rebuilding required? P1 Score: Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable Fishery specific objectives Decision making processes Compliance & enforcement Research plan	Score	Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80 80 80 90	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	
		Component Outcome Management Weighted Princ Dy RFN Component Governance and Policy Fishery specific management system	PI No. 1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 iple-lev Stock PI No. 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Performance Indicator (PI) Stock status Reference points Stock rebuilding Harvest strategy Harvest control rules & tools Information & monitoring Assessment of stock status rel scores Performance Indicator (PI) Legal & customary framework Consultation, roles & Long term objectives Incentives for sustainable Fishery specific objectives Decision making processes Compliance & enforcement Research plan Management performance	Score	Score	Score 100 75 80 60 80 85 No 81.9 IATTC Score 85 85 100 80 80 80 90	Score	60 ≤ PI or Unsc Rebu	PI < 80: Principle≥8 ored ilding Requ	Con 0: Pas mired	ndition Needed	

Foreword

One of the primary objectives of ISSF is to improve tuna fisheries so that they are sustainable, as measured by standards developed from the Food and Agriculture Organization's (FAO) Guidelines for the Ecolabelling of Fish and Fishery Products from Marine Capture Fisheries (available from www.fao.org).

The Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) is a global certification program with standards developed from the FAO guidelines and compliant with ISEAL specifications. To date, close to 200 fisheries, including several tuna fisheries, have been certified against the MSC standards. ISSF has been actively involved as a stakeholder in the tuna fishery certifications since 2011.

Through our involvement with MSC tuna fishery certifications, we have observed that there are often significant inconsistencies between assessments conducted by the Conformance Assessment Bodies (CAB) that are accredited by ASI to apply the MSC standards. The assessment scores assigned to individual sustainability indicators by CABs in what seem to be very similar situations are sometimes quite different. This could be, at least in part, due to a level of subjectivity allowed by any system. In other cases it could be an incorrect interpretation of the standards and scoring guidance issued by the MSC.

We decided to ask two experienced assessors to score 19 stocks against the MSC standards using the very same indicators of sustainability and the guideposts provided by the MSC to make scoring consistent. These 19 stocks represent all of the major commercially-exploited tuna stocks in the world, except those for the three species of bluefin tunas. The scores are not fishery-specific, i.e. they focus only on stock status (MSC Principle 1) and the international management aspects relevant to Regional Fishery Management Organizations (RFMOs) (part of MSC Principle 3). Thus, they do not consider management in national or bilateral jurisdictions, nor gear/fleet-specific ecosystem impacts (MSC Principle 2), which are important components in any complete MSC assessment. Nevertheless, we hope that this exercise will:

- Provide a basis for comparing between stocks scores that are assigned by the same experts;
- Become a useful source document in future tuna certifications;
- Give a "snapshot" of the current status of the stocks and the strengths and weaknesses of RFMOs.

It is important to note two caveats. First, the document is work in progress. It needs to be modified to reflect the latest MSC Certification Requirements (v. 1.3, which become effective in March, 2013) and to reflect the latest management measures adopted by two RFMOs in late 2012. Second, the scores for some of the Principle 1 scoring issues are given on the basis of recent final assessments of tuna fisheries. In particular, for most cases the RFMOs have not adopted any specific harvest control rules or limit and target reference points, and it is questionable whether even a score of 60 (a passing grade with conditions for future improvement) is justifiable. Nevertheless, several recent tuna assessments have resulted passing scores in these situations. ISSF hopes that this issue will be addressed in the very near future.

We invite you to read An Evaluation of the Sustainability of Global Tuna Stocks Relative to Marine Stewardship Council Criteria by Joe Powers and Paul Medley and to provide any comments and suggestions you may have.