Chotiwat Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

The following information is based on data provided by the company; it has been independently audited for completeness and accuracy (Pursuant to stated ISSF guidelines):

Final Compliance Report (as of 9th March 2020, for activities from Q4 2018 to Q3 2019)

I, Somboon Chotiwananaphan, EACEO (Name/Title), of Chotiwat Manufacturing Co., Ltd, acknowledge receipt of this final compliance report, and have taken notice of any potential non-conformances contained within.

Signature: _______________________________ Date: 13 March 2020

1 Please note that ISSF mandates that the head of your company sign the Final draft of this report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>General Audit Information</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Company Name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affiliated Company Names</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Company Address</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Contact Information** (Name, Phone, Email) | Somboon Choti wattanaphan  
somboon.tm@chotiwat.com |
| **Auditor Name(s)** | Patricia Bianchi  
Jonah van Beijnen  
Oleg Martens  
Erin Wilson  
Jason Anderson |
| **Audit Start Date** | 31 October 2019 |
| **Audit End Date** | Preliminary audit results issued: Week of 27 January 2020  
Final audit results issued: Week of 9 March 2020 |
<p>| <strong>Time zone(s) for coordinating remote audit conference call</strong> | Thailand |
| <strong>Language requirements for remote audit conference call</strong> | English |
|---------------------|---------|------|------|------|-------------|------|------|
| 1.1 RFMO Authorized Vessel Record | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR | MAJOR |
| 1.2 RFMO Participation | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR |
| 2.1 Product Traceability | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 2.2 Quarterly Data Submission to RFMO | OK | OK | MINOR | OK | OK | MINOR | MINOR |
| 2.3 Product Labelling by Species and Area of Capture | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3.1(a) Shark Finning Policy | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 3.1(b) Prohibition of Transactions with Shark Finning Vessels | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 3.1(c) Prohibition of Transactions with Companies without a Public Policy | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR | OK |
| 3.2 Large-scale Pelagic Driftnets | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 3.3 Full Retention of tunas | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR | MINOR |
| 3.4 Skippers Best Practices | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR | MINOR |
| 3.5 Transactions w/ Vessels that use Only Non-Entangling FADs | OK | OK | OK | - | - | - | - |
| 3.6 Transactions with Vessels Implementing Best Practices for Sharks &amp; Sea Turtles | OK | OK | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4.1 UVI-IMO | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OBS |
| 4.2 Purse Seine Unique Vessel Identifiers | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR | OBS |
| 4.3 Observer Coverage | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR |
| 4.4(a) Transshipment | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 4.4(c) Transshipment at Sea – Observer Coverage | OK | OK | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5.1 IUU Fishing | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OBS |
| 5.2 IUU Product Response | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | MINOR |
| 6.1 Transaction Ban for LPS vessels not Actively Fishing for Tuna on Dec. 31, 2012 | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK | OK |
| 6.2(e) Purchases from PS Vessels in Fleets with Other Vessels not in Compliance w/ CMs 6.1 and 6.2(a) | OK | OK | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7.1 Registration of Controlled Vessels | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | OK | OK | N/A |
| 7.2 Threshold Requirement for PVR Listing | OK | OK | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7.3 Purchases from PVR Vessels | OK | OK | OK | OK | - | - | - |
| 7.4 Supply and Tender Vessels | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8.1 Exemption for Very Small Purse Seine Vessels | N/A | N/A | N/A | OK | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Audit purpose</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit objective</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit outcomes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose of this document</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Conformance with ISSF Commitments</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-conformances</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Grading** | MRAG Americas defines audit findings as follows:  
- Conformance (Ok) - the PC can provide evidence of compliance with a conservation measure  
- Observations - the PC is currently in compliance but there is a high risk that non-conformance could occur inadvertently without implementing preventative actions  
- Minor Non-conformance – the PC does not comply with a conservation measure, but this does not compromise the integrity of the ISSF initiatives  
- Major Non-conformance – the PC does not comply with a conservation measure and this compromises the integrity of the ISSF initiatives |
**MRAG Americas’ procedures**

MRAG Americas’ procedures for handling non-conformances for PCs are as follows:

- MRAG Americas substantiates conformance through documented evidence.
- Where a company cannot provide documented evidence of conformance with a conservation measure, a non-conformance must be issued.
- All non-conformances must be graded either major or minor.
- In the case of a non-conformance, ISSF may require a Corrective Action Response (CAR). The corrective actions must be in place and evidence of addressing the condition must supplied to MRAG or ISSF within an agreed timescale or a follow up audit may be required.

**Corrective Action Responses (CARs)**

To rectify non-conformances, the PC may be given the opportunity to provide a CAR. The nature of the CAR is at the discretion of the PC. MRAG Americas does not advise on what specific corrective action the PC may take but will assess whether the CAR is expected to address the non-conformance. MRAG Americas will also not provide advice with respect to any sanction that might be applied to a PC resulting from identified non-conformances. Such action will be at the discretion of the ISSF.

---

**Table 1: Conservation Measures and Conformance Levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Guidance</th>
<th>Gear Type</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Tuna RFMO Authorized Vessel Record</td>
<td>All purchases must be from vessels listed on the authorized vessel record of the RFMO governing the ocean area in which the tuna was caught, at the time of the fishing trip, so long as the vessel is of a size subject to listing in the RFMO authorized vessel record. For any purchases from non-PVR vessels, maintain a system check and approve vessel listing.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews company system to ensure that purchases are from properly listed vessels. The traceability exercises, reviewing RFMO vessel records and checking ocean areas where vessels are fishing verifies the system.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>The company has an internal procedure to check all vessels are registered with the relevant RFMOs. All quarters: All PS vessels are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM and all LL vessels are listed on authorized RFMO records. All selected vessels that are part of the traceability exercise are properly listed on the RFMO authorized vessel records.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>RFMO Participation</td>
<td>All purchases must be from vessels flagged to a member or cooperating non-member of the</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews quarterly data sent by the company to the</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All quarters: All sampled vessels are flagged by members of the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>relevant RFMO (or have applied with the RFMO for such status), or if membership is not possible, flagged to an Invited Expert or another such designation established by the RFMO.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RFMO to check that all vessels meet this requirement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>appropriate RFMO. All vessels that are part of the traceability exercise are properly flagged by a member or cooperating non-member of the RFMO relevant to fishing area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Product Traceability</td>
<td>Demonstrate ability to trace products from can code or sales invoice to vessel and trip.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor will review recent mock recalls, if available, and select a sample (i.e. all sales for a specific month) of can codes or sales invoices by label and destination from which the company will conduct traceability exercises. If the company produces cans from loins, or sells loins as a finished good, these products must be included in the assessment and the traceability exercise will cover a 3-month period.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>The company has a suitable traceability system in place that allows all product codes and produced volumes to be traced through all stages of the supply chain back to the vessel and vessel trip.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Quarterly Data Submission to RFMO</td>
<td>a. Send information for all round fish purchases (skipjack, albacore, yellowfin, bigeye), as described in the measure, to RFMO scientific bodies for each quarter by the last day of the following calendar quarter. b. As described by ISSF, for each quarter, Participating Companies are required to report (no later than the last day of the following calendar quarter) if they have no purchase of round fish (in total or from a typical RFMO region).</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor checks that information has been sent by companies to RFMO for all purchases. Auditor checks if PC has sent quarterly report to the RFMO, indicating that the company only purchases loins and/or finished goods. Auditor checks that email has been sent to <a href="mailto:rfmodata@iss-foundation.org">rfmodata@iss-foundation.org</a></td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All quarterly RFMO reports were received in accordance with the ISSF timeline. The auditor was able to locate a vessel and corresponding trip(s) from direct whole round purchases identified in the traceability exercise in the submitted RFMO reports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c. A Participating Company that only purchases loins and finished goods from other ISSF participating companies is exempt from sending RFMO data. However, the company must affirm quarterly via an unprompted email to <a href="mailto:rfmodata@iss-foundation.org">rfmodata@iss-foundation.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>indicating that the company only purchases loins and/or finished goods.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2.3 | Product Labelling by Species and Area of Capture | Processors, traders, importers, transporters, marketers and others involved in the seafood industry shall on all product labeling, or through a publicly available web-based system by product, for all branded tuna products:  
1. Identify the species of tuna contained in the product. For example:  
Katsuwonus pelamis, Skipjack, Bonite, Listado  
Thunnus alalunga, Albacore, Germon, Atús blanco  
Thunnus albacares, Yellowfin, Thon Jaune, Rabil  
Thunnus obesus, Bigeye, Thon Obese, Patudo  
2. Identify the ocean of capture for the tuna contained in the product. | All       | Auditor obtains list of consumer-facing branded products, and checks that said list includes information on the species of tuna (scientific name and/or common name), and ocean of capture.  
Auditor may also verify conformance with this CM during the annual traceability exercise. | N/A    | Company provided a written statement that it does not own any consumer-facing products.       |
<p>| 3.1(a)| Shark-Finning Policy                  | Company establishes and publishes policy prohibiting shark finning.                                                                                                                                                | All       | Auditor reviews company website for published policy.                                       | Ok    | <a href="https://www.chotiwat.com/standard/envi">https://www.chotiwat.com/standard/envi</a>                                                   |                                                                                 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Guidance</th>
<th>Gear Type</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1(b)</td>
<td>Prohibition of Transactions with Shark-Finning Vessels</td>
<td>Refrain from transactions with vessels that have shark finned within two years of the product purchase date (as found by RFMO or competent national authority).</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews the company system for ensuring no transactions with vessels that practice shark finning. The company system is verified by checking purchases against data from RFMO compliance reports, media sources, etc.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>Company screens suppliers against RFMO shark finning lists and the PVR (when appropriate) prior to purchase. No instances of shark finning were found for vessels listed in the quarterly RFMO reports.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1(c)</td>
<td>Prohibition of Transactions with Companies without a Public Policy Prohibiting Shark Finning</td>
<td>No transactions with companies that do not have a public policy prohibiting shark finning. If transactions involve flag states that absolutely prohibit shark finning, no policy is required. If flag state allows 5% shark fin retention, the company must have a public policy.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews the company procedure for ensuring that all tuna purchases have come from a company that has a public policy prohibiting shark finning. For flag states that do have an absolute shark finning prohibition, the auditor will review all publicly available material to ensure no shark finning has taken place. Traceability exercises by transaction or can code to PVR vessels, or proof of compliance verifies the system.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td><a href="https://www.chotiwat.com/files/standard/shark_finning.pdf">https://www.chotiwat.com/files/standard/shark_finning.pdf</a></td>
<td>Suppliers that are part of the traceability exercise have a policy in place prohibiting shark finning and all purse seine vessels are listed in the PVR are compliant with this measure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Large-Scale Pelagic Driftnets Prohibition</td>
<td>No transactions in vessels using large-scale pelagic driftnets.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews quarterly report by gear type and identifies any use of large-scale driftnets. This is done by first identifying vessels using gill nets, then following up on the size of the net. Maximum net size is 2.5 km.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All QTRS: All PS are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM. No use of large-scale pelagic driftnets in quarterly RFMO reports. None of the selected vessels that are part of the traceability exercise have been associated with the use of drift nets or gill nets. MRAG reviews RFMO compliance committee reports for any indication of vessels using large-scale pelagic driftnets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Full Retention of Tunas</td>
<td>All purse seine caught tuna (skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye) is retained onboard, except those unfit for human consumption as defined, or when in the final set of a trip, where there is insufficient well space to accommodate all fish caught in that set. If the vessel fishes in areas where full retention is mandatory, no further policy is needed. If RFMO does not require full retention, vessel must have documented and implemented policy in accordance with this conservation measure.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>Verify that the company sources tuna from vessels that practice full retention of tunas. Auditor checks PVR. For vessels not listed on the PVR, company provides evidence of vessel policy or RFMO requirement regarding full retention of tunas.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All PS are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM. All selected purse seine vessels that are part of the traceability exercise retain their tuna as per PVR records and/or captain’s statements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Skipper Best Practices</td>
<td>Unless exempt per Conservation</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>Verify that the company has purchased tuna only from vessels with skippers that have</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All PS are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Measure 8.1, skipper² has attended an ISSF Skippers Workshop in person, has viewed the Skippers Workshop video online, or has reviewed the Skippers Guidebook. The workshop video is located at this link. The online guidebook is located at <a href="http://www.issfguidebooks.org">www.issfguidebooks.org</a>.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine and Support Vessels</td>
<td>completed ISSF Skipper Best Practices education. Auditor checks the PVR and ISSF list of individuals who have either attended Skippers Workshops, have certified that they read the online Skippers Guidebook, or have viewed the online Skippers Workshop video. The PC may also provide evidence of skipper review of guidebook, video, including list of skippers, method of review and dates of completion.</td>
<td>All skippers of the selected purse seine vessels that are part of the traceability exercise read the ISSF guidebook as per PVR records.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Processors, traders, importers, transporters, marketers and others involved in the seafood industry shall conduct transactions only with those purse seine vessels whose owners have a public policy regarding the use of only non-entangling (NE) FADs. The policy should refer to the ISSF Guide for Non-Entangling FADs and shall apply to all new FAD deployments, regardless of the type of vessel that deploys the FADs. For the purposes of this measure a policy is “public” if it is published on the company’s website or is otherwise available.</td>
<td>Auditor reviews evidence showing that the company conducts transactions only with purse seine vessels that have a public policy regarding the use of only non-entangling FADs. Auditor reviews whether RFMO/flag state or fleet association has an in-effect mandatory requirement for NE FADs, which equals or surpasses ISSF guidelines for NE FADs.</td>
<td>All PS are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM. All selected suppliers that are part of the traceability exercise have a Non-Entangling FAD policy in place as per PVR records.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² The intent of this conservation measure is that the person or persons trained are those in leadership roles onboard the vessel directing the fishing operations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Guidance</th>
<th>Gear Type</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to the general public.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For purposes of this measure, NE FADs should meet the minimum specifications in the <a href="https://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i2713e/i2713e07.htm">ISSF Guide for Non-Entangling FADs</a>. Vessel owners shall not deploy FADs that meet the description of “highest entanglement” contained in the ISSF Guide.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If RFMO/flag state where vessel(s) operate has an in-effect mandatory requirement for NE FADs, which equals or surpasses the <a href="https://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i2713e/i2713e07.htm">ISSF guidelines for NE FADs</a>, then vessel owner is not required to have an individual public policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If the vessel is a member of a fleet association that has a public policy on NE FADs, which equals or surpasses the <a href="https://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i2713e/i2713e07.htm">ISSF guidelines for NE FADs</a>, the individual vessel must have a policy implementing the fleet association policy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Transactions with Vessels Implementing Best Practices for Sharks and Sea Turtles</td>
<td>Processors, traders, importers, marketers and others involved in the seafood industry shall conduct transactions only with those longline vessels whose owners have a policy requiring the implementation of Best Practices for sharks and marine turtles. For the purposes of this measure, a large-scale longline vessel is defined as a vessel that is equal to or greater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Large-Scale Longline</td>
<td>Auditor reviews evidence showing that the company conducts transactions only with large-scale longline vessels that have a policy requiring the implementation of the following best practices for sharks and marine turtles: (a) the use of circle hooks and only</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>Company submitted commitment to shark conservation and bycatch mitigation. No longline vessels were identified in the traceability exercise.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>than 20m length overall (LOA).</td>
<td>monofilament line; (b) the implementation by the crew of best practice handling techniques, such as those outlined in the ISSF Skippers’ Guidebook to Longline Fishing Practices; and (c) No use of “shark lines” at any time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Unique Vessel Identifiers - IMO</td>
<td>All purchases must be from vessels with an IMO UVI number, unless ineligible due to IMO requirements or due to other reasons stated by IMO.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Auditor reviews company system to ensure vessel purchases meet this criterion. A sample of non-PVR purchases will be reviewed to assess whether the company system is adequate to ensure that non-PVR vessels meet this requirement.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>Company has system in place to screen vessels for IMO number if eligible. All vessels in the quarterly reports have IMO. All purchases that are part of the traceability exercise are from vessels that have an UVI-IMO number or that are exempted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Guidance</th>
<th>Gear Type</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>under national jurisdiction, and that provide a national certificate of operation, will be considered compliant under this section.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Purse Seine Unique Vessel Identifiers</td>
<td>If IMO requirements do not provide for a particular vessel to receive an IMO UVI for reasons other than vessel size, the vessel shall obtain a TUVI from the Consolidated List of Authorized Vessels (CLAV) CLAV: <a href="http://www.tuna.org.org/GlobalTVR.htm">http://www.tuna.org.org/GlobalTVR.htm</a> or a UVI from ISSF: <a href="http://iss-foundation.org/wpcontent/uploads/downloads/2015/02/UVI-and-IMO-numbering-instructions-2015.pdf">http://iss-foundation.org/wpcontent/uploads/downloads/2015/02/UVI-and-IMO-numbering-instructions-2015.pdf</a>. If IMO requirements do not provide for a vessel to receive an IMO UVI due to vessel size, such vessels do not need to obtain a TUVI from the CLAV or a UVI from ISSF.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor reviews company method to ensure that all vessel purchases meet this criterion. A sample of non-PVR purchases will be reviewed to assess whether the company system is adequate to ensure that non-PVR vessels also meet this requirement.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All PS vessels listed in the quarterly RFMO reports have a valid IMO number. All selected purse seine vessels that are part of the traceability exercise have either an IMO UVI number or a TUVI issued by CLAV in place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3(a)</td>
<td>Observer Coverage</td>
<td>Evidence of 100% observer coverage (human or electronic) unless exempt or prevented by force majeure. The data collected by the observer must be made available to the flag state authorities and, if appropriate, to the RFMO, in the format required by the flag state (and RFMO). In case the flag state (or RFMO) does not accept the data, the vessel owner must store data for at least three years from the end of the trip. At a minimum, data to be made</td>
<td>Large Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor assesses company system for observer coverage of controlled vessels, company data submission to RFMOs and RFMO committee meetings and compliance reports, etc. If RFMO requires 100% observer coverage, no further evidence is required.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All LPS vessels are listed on the PVR with a green check for this CM. All selected large purse seine vessels that are part of the traceability exercise have 100% observer coverage as per PVR records.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>available are those fields required by the flag state for vessel logbooks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4(a)</td>
<td>Transshipments</td>
<td>No transactions in tuna where transportation included transshipment, except when exempt per Conservation Measure 4.4 (b)(i) or Conservation Measure 8.1.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor reviews data submission for relevant RFMO, transshipment documents and RFMO compliance committee and commission reports to verify that tuna purchased has not undergone unauthorized transshipment.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>No unauthorized transshipments were found in quarterly RFMO reports or during traceability exercise. N/A, no transshipments were recorded during the traceability exercise.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4(c)</td>
<td>Transshipment at Sea – Observer Coverage</td>
<td>Processors, traders, importers, marketers and others involved in the seafood industry shall conduct transactions with longline vessels that conduct transshipments at sea, whether high seas, EEZ, territorial seas or archipelagic waters, only if 100% of such transshipments are observed (either by a human observer on board the longline vessel or onboard the carrier vessel). Note: The WCPFC E-reporting system allows transshipment declarations (TDs) to be filed without the observer’s signature. In such cases, company should obtain a copy of the observer contract, the crew list (signed and dated by the skipper), or some other evidence of 100% observer coverage for the period during which TDs were submitted using the E-reporting system.</td>
<td>Large-Scale Longline</td>
<td>Auditor assesses participating company system to determine observer coverage on large-scale longline, and/or transshipment, vessels they source from. Company shall maintain record(s) of RFMO transshipment declarations, which must be signed by the observer present during transshipment. If vessel uses the WCPFC E-reporting system for a TD, auditor asks company to provide a copy of the observer contract, the vessel crew list or other evidence for that vessel.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>Company requires suppliers to provide Captain Statements, which include information on transshipments, such as coordinates, which vessels were involved, the quantities of fish being transshipped and whether a human observer was present. Company also maintains a record of transshipment declarations and was able to provide a copy of TD for randomly selected transshipment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the purposes of this measure, a large-scale longline vessel is defined as a vessel that is equal to or greater than 20m length overall (LOA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Category Guidance</th>
<th>Gear Type</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Corrective Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Fishing</td>
<td>No transactions with vessels on any tuna RFMO IUU vessel list.</td>
<td>Auditor checks quarterly data sent by the company to the RFMO for the presence of IUU listed vessels.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>No IUU vessels found in quarterly RFMO reports. No IUU listed vessels were found during the traceability exercise.</td>
<td>The auditor reviews the company purchasing system to prevent IUU fish. This will also be assessed during traceability exercises to verify that products do not originate from IUU vessels and by reviewing compliance committee reports, commission reports, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Product Response</td>
<td>No IUU purchases. If IUU found, company must withdraw these products from the marketplace.</td>
<td>Auditor reviews company recall procedure for presence of IUU policy, then reviews company purchases and compares to RFMO IUU lists.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>The company has an Identification, Traceability, Complaint handling and Recall of product procedure.</td>
<td>Company initiates market withdrawal of IUU products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Transaction Ban for Large-Scale Purse-Seine Vessels</td>
<td>Demonstrate that all purchases from large-scale purse seine</td>
<td>Auditor reviews a list of company purchases</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All LSPS vessels reported in the quarterly RFMO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not Actively Fishing for Tuna as of December 31, 2012</td>
<td>vessels are from vessels actively fishing for tuna as of December 31, 2012 and listed on the ISSF Record of Large-Scale Purse Seine Vessels (Record). If a vessel is not listed on the Record, the company shall provide evidence of the vessel attributes in accordance with Conservation Measure 6.2 (a). Any updates to the Record must be made in accordance with 6.2(a).</td>
<td>Seine</td>
<td>from large-scale purse seine vessels to assess whether the source vessels are listed on the ISSF Record of Large-Scale Purse Seine Vessels.</td>
<td></td>
<td>reports are listed on the LSPS Record. All large purse seine vessels that are part of the traceability exercise are listed on the Record of Large-Scale PS vessels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2(e)</td>
<td>Purchases from Purse Seine Vessels in Fleets with Other Vessels not in Compliance with ISSF Conservation Measures 6.1 and 6.2(a)</td>
<td>Processors, traders, importers, transporters, marketers and others involved in the seafood industry shall refrain from transactions in skipjack, bigeye and yellowfin tuna caught by any and all large-scale purse seine vessels owned by business organizations or individuals that also own large-scale purse seine vessels not in compliance with ISSF Conservation Measures 6.1 and 6.2(a). For the purposes of this measure, “owned” means a registered or controlling (majority) interest in the vessels: (1) directly or indirectly (through intermediary entities) by any business organization or its affiliated business organizations, including commonly controlled organizations, or (2) directly or indirectly by any individuals, including individual ownership of any equity or</td>
<td>Large Purse Seine</td>
<td>Vessel representative will be asked to provide a complete list of all owned large-scale purse seine vessels, including IMO numbers. Auditor reviews list of owned vessels and compares it to the PVR record. Auditor may review RFMO records, and other publicly available sources of information, to cross-reference ownership declarations.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>Company screens all LSPS vessels against the LSPS record before procurement. No indication of LSPS vessels belonging to fleets where other LSPS vessels are not registered on the Record. N/A, no suspicious vessels identified in the traceability exercise belong to a “fleet”.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>investment interest in whatever form of any business organization operating, managing, controlling or receiving revenues from a vessel. Individuals shall include any natural person and his or her family members (including spouse, domestic partner, child, sibling, parent or grandparent, whether natural, adopted or by marriage).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Registration of Controlled Vessels</td>
<td>Register all controlled purse seine vessels on the PVR.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor will obtain list of controlled vessels from company and compare to PVR. Information may also come from industry and media sources.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Company provided a statement that it does not control any purse seine vessels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Threshold Requirement for PVR Listing</td>
<td>Obtain written verification that all supplier purse seine vessels owned by the same business organization meet the requirements of CM 7.2. For the purposes of this measure, “owned” means a registered or controlling (majority) interest in the vessel(s).</td>
<td>Large Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor reviews the efficacy of the verification process used by PCs. Auditor reviews publicly available information on the ownership of vessels.</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>The company has a monitoring system to ensure that all large-scale purse seine (LPS) vessels sourced from are from fleets where all LPS vessels are registered on the PVR. No indication of LPS vessels belonging to fleets where other LPS vessels are not registered on the PVR. All LPS vessels within the traceability exercise seem to come from fleets where all LPS vessels are registered on the PVR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Purchases from PVR Vessels</td>
<td>For fishing trips beginning on or after January 1, 2016, source 100% of skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna caught by</td>
<td>Large Purse Seine</td>
<td>Auditor isolates skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna caught by</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>All LPS vessels the company sourced from are listed on the PVR.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Supply and Tender Vessels</td>
<td>bigeye tuna caught by large-scale purse seine vessels from vessels registered in the PVR.</td>
<td>All Purse Seine</td>
<td>large-scale purse seiners within the quarterly RFMO data submission and compares trip dates and purchase data to PVR listing dates. Supporting documentation may be requested to verify the accuracy of trip dates.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Company provided a statement that it does not control supply and trader vessels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Category Guidance</td>
<td>Gear Type</td>
<td>Means of Verification</td>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>include vessels as defined in ISSF CM 7.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. When registering all controlled supply or tender vessels on the PVR, in addition to the vessel attribute data already required as part of the PVR listing application, the vessel owner must provide the vessel names and flags of all the purse seine vessels that the listed supply or tender vessels support, to the maximum extent possible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. For purposes of this measure, supply and tender vessels are any vessel used, or intended for use, for the purpose of fishing or the transport of fishery products.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very small purse seine vessels are exempted from the following ISSF Conservation Measures: 3.4 Skipper Best Practices 4.4(a) Transshipment</td>
<td>Very Small Purse Seine</td>
<td>The company can demonstrate that the vessel is less than 30 GT by providing fishing licenses, vessel surveys, photos, etc.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Company stated it did not source from small purse seine vessels. None were found in the traceability exercise.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>